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Further investigations of the secondary metabolites of a gorgonian Briareum sp., collected at Amami Oshima, Kagoshima Prefecture, have yielded 10 new briarane diterpenes, briarlides I-R (1-10). The structures were elucidated on the basis of spectral analysis.

The gorgonian soft corals belonging to the genus Briareum (phylum Cnidaria, order Gorgonacea, family Briareidae) have proved to be a rich source of highly oxygenated briarane-type diterpenes that exhibit a range of biological properties such as cytotoxic, ${ }^{1,2}$ anti-inflammatory, ${ }^{3-5}$ antiviral, ${ }^{3,6}$ insecticidal, $, 7,8$ and antifouling activity. ${ }^{9}$ Our previous investigations of this organism, collected at Amami Oshima in the southern region of Kagoshima Prefecture, afforded eight new briaranes designated as briarlides $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{H}$, exhibiting cytotoxity toward Vero and MDCK cells. ${ }^{10}$ Further investigation of the extract has yielded 10 additional new briaranes, briarlides I-R (110). Herein we wish to report the structural elucidation of compounds 1-10.

## Results and Discussion

Briarlides I-R (1-10) possessed a common briarane skeleton with an 8,17-epoxy group and a 9 -acetyl group. The gross structures were determined by a combination of 1D NMR (Tables 1 and 2) and extensive 2D NMR experiments including ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, HMQC, HMBC, and NOESY. They can be structurally divided into two classes according to differences in the six-membered ring. One class has a C-11,12-dioxygenated group and a C-13,14 double bond and contains briarlides $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{6})$. The other class comprises briarlides $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}(\mathbf{7 - 1 0})$, which have a C-11,12 double bond and an C-14 acetyl group.Briarlide I (1) was isolated as a white mass, and the molecular formula was established to be $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ by HRFABMS. The IR spectrum showed the presence of a tertiary hydroxyl group ( $\nu_{\text {max }} 3538 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), a $\gamma$-lactone carbonyl ( $\nu_{\text {max }} 1788 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and an ester carbonyl ( $\nu_{\max } 1746 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated resonances due to four acetyl protons ( $\delta 2.02 \times$ $2,2.15,2.31,3 \mathrm{H}$ each, s) and octanoyl protons ( $\delta 0.88,3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.27,8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1.56,2 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped, $2.25,2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dt}, J=3.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The proton sequences from $\mathrm{H}-2$ to $\mathrm{H}-4$, $\mathrm{H}-6$ to $\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-9$ to $\mathrm{H}-10$, and $\mathrm{H}-12$ to $\mathrm{H}-14$ were confirmed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum. The chemical shifts and the coupling patterns in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum were similar to those of briarlide D (11), ${ }^{10}$ except for the downfield shift of H-3 ( $\delta 6.09,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) by 1.25 ppm , when compared to that of $\mathbf{1 1}$. Therefore, the structure of 1 was acylated at C-2 to C-4, C-9, and C-12. Finally, briarlide I was assigned as 3 -acetylbriarlide D,

[^0]inasmuch as the spectral data of $\mathbf{1}$ were in good agreement with those of the diacetate obtained by acetylation of violide A (12) ${ }^{11}$ or 12-deacetylbriarlide D.

The IR spectrum of briarlide $\mathrm{J}(\mathbf{2}), \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, indicated the absorptions due to a hydroxyl group ( $v_{\max } 3503 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), a $\gamma$-lactone carbonyl ( $\nu_{\text {max }} 1782 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and an ester carbonyl ( $\nu_{\max } 1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed resonances due to three acetyl groups ( $\delta 2.13,2.22,2.27,3 \mathrm{H}$ each, s), which were positioned at C-2, C-4, and C-9, on the basis of the chemical shifts of H-2 ( $\delta 4.70,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), \mathrm{H}-4$ ( $\delta 4.86,1 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped), and H-9 ( $\delta 5.92,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0$ Hz ). The presence of a hydroxyl group at C-3 was confirmed by the downfield chemical shifts of H-3 ( $\delta 4.86,1 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped) and $\mathrm{H}-15$ ( $\delta 1.32,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{10}$ Inspection of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of $\mathbf{2}$ indicated that $\mathbf{2}$ was similar to briarlide B (13), except that an acetyl group was missing and the chemical shift of $\mathrm{H}-12(\delta 3.70,1 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was shifted upfield by 1.01 ppm . The structure of $\mathbf{2}$, therefore, was determined to be 12-deacetylbriarlide B .
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of briarlide $\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{3}), \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, was similar to that of $\mathbf{2}$, except for the resonances of the highfield shift of H-2 ( $\delta 3.22,1 \mathrm{H}$, s) and of additional $n$-butanoyl protons ( $\delta 0.98,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.68,2 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped, $2.38,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Comparison of the chemical shift of H-2 with that of $\mathbf{2}(\delta 4.70)$ indicated that a hydroxyl group was positioned at C-2 instead of an acetoxyl group. The position of the $n$-butanoyl group was established to be at C-4 from the observation of HMBC correlation of H-4 ( $\delta 4.90,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) to C-21 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 173.5). Briarlide K was revealed to have the same relative sterochemistry as 2 by comparing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR coupling patterns and NOE spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ with those of 2 . Thus, NOEs from H-20 ( $\delta 1,23,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s) to H-12 (d $4.78,1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and H-15 ( $\delta 1.19,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) indicated that these protons were on the same $\beta$-face. H -2, which did not show correlation with $\mathrm{H}-15$, was correlated with $\mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-10$ ( $\delta 2.48,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.9$ ), suggesting that these protons were situated on the opposite face ( $\alpha$ ) from $\mathrm{H}-15$. The $\beta$-configuration of H-3 ( $\delta 4.83,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was confirmed by the large coupling constant $(J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ between H-3 and H-4. H-7 ( $\delta 5.69,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was determined to be $\beta$-oriented by the NOE from H-3 and H-7. The small coupling constants $(J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ between H-9 ( $\delta$ $5.92,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and $\mathrm{H}-10$ and NOEs from H-9 to $\mathrm{H}-15, \mathrm{H}-18$ ( $\delta 1.69,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) and H-20 suggested H-9 and $\mathrm{H}-18$ to have $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-configurations, respectively. ${ }^{11}$

Briarlides $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{4}-\mathbf{6})$ were deduced to possess acyl groups at both C-2 ( $\delta 4.53-4.62,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2-7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and C-4 ( $\delta 5.01-5.10,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.1-5.3,12.6-13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )
Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data of Compounds $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1 0}^{a}$

| no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.58 (br s) | 4.70 (s) | 3.22 (s) | 4.54 (br d, 6.2) | 4.62 (d, 7.2) |
| $3 \alpha$ |  |  |  | $2.07{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.10^{\text {b }}$ |
| $3 \beta$ | 6.09 (dd, 1.7, 10.6) | $4.86{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.83 (dd, 3.7, 10.6) | 2.94 (dd, 13.3) | 3.01 (dd, 12.6, 14.7) |
| $4 \alpha$ | 5.14 (br d, 10.6) | $4.86{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.90 (d, 10.6) | 5.10 (br dd, 5.3, 13.3) | 5.01 (dd, 5.1, 12.6) |
| $4 \beta$ ( $4.59(\mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 5.59 (br d, 7.0) | 5.50 (br d, 9.3) | 5.46 (br d, 9.7) | 5.46 (br d, 9.5) | 5.79 (br d, 9.2) |
| 7 | $5.95{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.73 (d, 9.3) | 5.69 (d, 9.7) | 5.78 (d, 9.5) | 5.76 (d, 9.2) |
| 9 | 5.95 (d, 4.4) | 5.92 (d, 4.0) | 5.92 (d, 3.9) | 5.90 (d, 4.0) | 5.95 (d, 3.8) |
| 10 | 2.77 (d, 4.4) | 2.59 (d, 4.0) | 2.48 (d, 3.9) | 2.69 (d, 4.0) | 2.49 (d. 3.8) |
| 12 | 4.69 (d, 6.2) | 3.70 (br d, 6.2) | 4.78 (d, 6.1) | 4.71 (d, 6.4) | 3.66 (d, 6.2) |
| 13 | 5.95 (dd, 6.2, 10.3) | 5.83 (dd, 6.2, 10.3) | 5.91 (dd, 6.1, 10.3) | 5.90 (dd, 6.4, 10.3) | 5.82 (dd, 6.2, 10.4) |
| 14 | 5.57 (d, 10.3) | 5.36 (d, 10.3) | 6.02 (d, 10.3) | 5.49 (d, 10.3) | 5.36 (d, 10.4) |
| 15 | 1.16 (s) | 1.32 (s) | 1.19 (s) | 1.19 (s) | 1.18 (s) |
| 16 | 2.20 (d, 1.1) | 2.09 (d, 1.5) | 2.05 (s) | 2.16 (d, 1.1) | 4.33 (br s) |
| 18 | 1.67 (s) | 1.70 (s) | 1.69 (s) | 1.68 (s) | 1.70 (s) |
| 20 | 1.22 (br s) | 1.16 (br s) | 1.23 (br s) | 1.22 (br s) | 1.15 (br s) |
| MeCO | $2.02 \times 2,2.15,2.31$ (each s) | 2.13, 2.22, 2.27 (each s) | 2.05 (s), 2.25 (s) | 2.07, 2.11, 2.24 (each s) | 2.14, 2.24 (each s) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{2 n+1} \mathrm{CO}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 6.8), 1.27(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1.56(2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{b} 2.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, 3.3,7.3) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 7.3), 1.68(2 \mathrm{H})^{b} \\ & 2.38(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 7.3) \end{aligned}$ | $0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 6.8), 1.29(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m})$ $1.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7.5)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 6.8), 1.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1.59^{b}(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7.5) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $1.56(2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{b} 2.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, 3.3,7.3)$ |  | 2.38 (t, 2H, 7.3) | $1.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7.5)$ | $1.59^{6}(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7.5)$ |


| no. | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.53 (d, 6.2) | 5.02 (br s) | 5.02 (br s) | 3.87 (br d, 9.9) | 3.71 (d, 9.9) |
| $3 \alpha$ | $2.07{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $3 \beta$ | 2.95 (dd, 13.3) | $4.91{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.88{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.10 (d, 10.3) | 5.59 (dd, 6.2, 12.1) |
| $4 \alpha$ | 5.09 (dd, 5.3, 13.2) | 4.91 (d, 11.0) | $4.88{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.13 (br d, 10.3) | 2.11 (m) |
| $4 \beta$ |  |  |  |  | 3.02 (br dd, 6.2, 13.5) |
| 6 | 5.47 (br d. 9.5) | 5.52 (br d, 10.1) | 5.53 (br d, 9.7) | 5.51 (br d, 9.5) | 5.40 (br d, 9.7) |
| 7 | 5.79 (d, 9.5) | 5.77 (d, 10.1) | 5.78 (d, 9.7) | 5.89 (d, 9.5) | 5.62 (d, 9.7) |
| 9 | 5.91 (d, 4.2) | $5.76{ }^{\text {b }}$ (br s $)$ | 5.76 (3.3) | $5.88{ }^{\text {b }}$ (br s) | 5.82 (d, 2.2) |
| 10 | 2.69 (d, 4.2) | 2.81 (br s) | 2.81 (br s) | $2.65{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.67{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 12 | 4.71 (d, 6.2) | 5.44 (m) | 5.44 (br d, 5.5) | 5.44 (m) | 5.45 (br d, 4.0) |
| 13 | 5.90 (dd, 6.2, 103) | 2.04 (m) | 2.04 (m) | 2.11 (m) | $2.07{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  |  |  | $2.21{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.21 (m) | 2.23 (m) |
| 14 | 5.49 (d, 10.3) | 4.73 (br s) | 4.73 (m) | 5.15 (br s) | 5.20 (m) |
| 15 | 1.19 (s) | 1.16 (s) | 1.16 (s) | 0.84 (s) | 0.86 (s) |
| 16 | 2.16 (s) | 2.19 (br s) | 2.20 (br s) | 2.06 (d, 1.5) | 1.89 (br s) |
| 18 | 1.68 (s) | $1.64{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.64 (s) | 1.64 (s) | 1.63 (s) |
| 20 | 1.22 (br s) | 1.86 (br s) | 1.86 (br s) | 1.89 (br s) | 1.88 (br s) |
| MeCO | 2.07, 2.07, 2.10, 2.25 (each s) | 1.96, 2.15, 2.23 (each s) | 1.96, 2.13, 2.16, 2.24 (each s) | 2.02, 2.11, 2.21 | 2.03, 2.07, 2.14 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{2 n+1} \mathrm{CO}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.28(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 6.6), 1.28(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), \\ & 1.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |  |

[^1]Table 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data of Compounds $\mathbf{1 - 1 0}{ }^{a}$

| no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 47.0 | 47.1 | 48.6 | 46.9 | 46.7 | 46.9 | 45.4 | 45.4 | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| 2 | 76.7 | 76.7 | 76.2 | 77.6 | 78.0 | 77.6 | 71.8 | 71.8 | 72.2 | 71.7 |
| 3 | 70.7 | 71.3 | 70.9 | 38.5 | 38.2 | 38.5 | 70.8 | 70.7 | 72.2 | 72.0 |
| 4 | 76.0 | 76.8 | 74.6 | 72.0 | 69.4 | 72.0 | 76.6 | 76.9 | 76.5 | 34.4 |
| 5 | 140.3 | 141.1 | 140.8 | 144.8 | 146.5 | 144.7 | 142.1 | 142.1 | 141.0 | 140.3 |
| 6 | 125.3 | 124.2 | 123.9 | 122.7 | 124.0 | 122.8 | 123.5 | 123.6 | 123.7 | 120.4 |
| 7 | 73.2 | 73.6 | 73.5 | 73.5 | 73.4 | 73.5 | 73.7 | 73.7 | 73.8 | 74.8 |
| 8 | 71.5 | 71.2 | 71.5 | 71.2 | 71.0 | 71.2 | 70.4 | 70.4 | 71.0 | 71.1 |
| 9 | 65.1 | 65.4 | 65.1 | 65.3 | 65.7 | 65.3 | 67.7 | 67.6 | 67.6 | 68.0 |
| 10 | 43.6 | 43.7 | 44.7 | 43.8 | 43.3 | 43.8 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 45.1 | 44.4 |
| 11 | 72.6 | 73.7 | 72.4 | 72.1 | 73.6 | 72.5 | 133.1 | 133.0 | 133.3 | 133.4 |
| 12 | 73.2 | 70.2 | 73.4 | 72.5 | 70.4 | 73.2 | 120.9 | 120.9 | 121.2 | 120.1 |
| 13 | 123.2 | 125.1 | 121.0 | 122.6 | 124.9 | 122.6 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 26.3 |
| 14 | 140.1 | 138.0 | 142.1 | 140.5 | 138.4 | 140.5 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 74.1 | 74.2 |
| 15 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 14.1 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 15.4 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 13.1 | 13.3 |
| 16 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 26.0 | 25.6 | 65.8 | 25.7 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 25.2 | 27.2 |
| 17 | 64.7 | 64.5 | 64.8 | 64.7 | 64.3 | 64.7 | 63.3 | 63.3 | 64.1 | 64.0 |
| 18 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 9.8 |
| 19 | 170.5 | $170.7^{\text {b }}$ | 170.3 | 170.4 | 170.6 | 170.4 | 170.9 | 170.9 | 170.8 | 170.9 |
| 20 | 21.2 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 21.4 | 21.2 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.5 | 24.6 |
| MeCO | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9,20.9 \\ & 21.1,21.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8, \\ & 21.1, \\ & 21.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9, \\ & 21.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9,21.0, \\ & 21.3 \end{aligned}$ | 21.2, 21.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9,21.0 \\ & 21.0,21.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8 \\ & 21.3 \\ & 21.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8,21.1, \\ & 21.3,21.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0,21.1, \\ & 21.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0,21.2, \\ & 21.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| MeCO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168.7, 169.7, } \\ & 169.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.4, \\ & 170.3,{ }^{b} \\ & 170.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168.3, } \\ & \text { 169.6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.2 \\ & 169.8 \\ & 170.0 \end{aligned}$ | 168.1, 171.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168.2, 169.8, } \\ & 170.0,170.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168.8, } \\ & 169.9 \\ & 170.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168.9, 169.9, } \\ & 170.6,170.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.4, \\ & 170.0 \\ & 170.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169.2,169.6, \\ & 170.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & C_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{2 n+1}- \\ & \mathrm{CO} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.1,22.6 \\ & 24.7,28.8 \\ & 29.0,31.6 \\ & 31.2,172.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13.6 \\ & 18.4 \\ & 36.1, \\ & 173.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0,22.6, \\ & 24.8,28.9, \\ & 29.0,31.6, \\ & 34.2172 .9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.8,22.2, \\ & 24.5,31.2, \\ & 34.2,173.1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0,22.6, \\ & 24.9,28.9, \\ & 29.0,31.6, \\ & 34.3,173.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.1,22.6, \\ & 24.7,28.9 \\ & 29.0,31.6 \\ & 34.2,173.2 \end{aligned}$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ Chemical shift values for $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$, and $\mathbf{5}-\mathbf{9}$ are in ppm from TMS ( $\delta 0.00$ ) and for $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ from $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta 77.0)$, respectively. ${ }^{b}$ These values are exchangeable.
and a methylene group at C-3 ( $\delta 2.07-2.10,1 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped, $2.94-3.01,1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=12.6-13.3,13.3-14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) on the basis of the chemical shifts and coupling patterns of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and the NOE spectra as in the case of violides H , I , and $\mathrm{N} .{ }^{12,13}$

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of briarlide $\mathrm{L}(4), \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, exhibited resonances due to three acetyl groups ( $\delta 2.07$, 2.11, 2.24, 3 H each, s) and an $n$-octanoyl group ( $\delta 0.88,3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.29,8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1.61,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2.30,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz})$ and was nearly identical to that of violide $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1 4})$, except for the presence of an additional acetyl group and the downfield shift of $\mathrm{H}-12(\delta 4.71,1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4)$ by 1.03 ppm , when compared to that of 14 . The octanoyl group was elucidated to be positioned at C-4 by correlation of H-4 ( $\delta$ $5.10,1 \mathrm{H}$, br dd, $J=5.3$ and 13.3 Hz ) to C-21 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 172.9$, s) in the HMBC experiments. This implied that briarlide L was 12 -acetylviolide H , the relative stereochemistry of which was also deduced by the NOE experiments: $\mathrm{H}-2 / \mathrm{H}-$ 10, H-16, H-9/H-18, H-20, H-12/H-20.

Inspection of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of briarlide M (5), $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, indicated the presence of two acetyl groups ( $\delta$ $2.14,2.24,3 \mathrm{H}$ each, s) and an $n$-hexanoyl group ( $\delta 0.89$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.30,4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1.59,2 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped, 2.30, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Comparison of the spectrum of 5 with that of violide I (15) ${ }^{12}$ suggested that the C-5 methyl group in 15 was replaced with a hydroxymethyl group ( $\delta 4.33$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ ). The $n$-hexanoyl group was determined to be located at C-4 by correlation of H-4 ( $\delta 5.01,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ 5.1 and 12.6 Hz ) to C-21 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 173.1$ ) in the HMBC experiments. The relative stereochemistry was confirmed by the similarity of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR coupling patterns and the NOE experiments to those of $\mathbf{1 5}$. Briarlide M, therefore, has the structure 5 as shown.

Briarlide $\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{6}), \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, showed resonances due to four acetyl protons ( $\delta 2.07,2.07,2.10,2.25,3 \mathrm{H}$ each, s) in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, which was similar to that of 4 ; however,
the resonances due to the $n$-octanoyl group in 4 were lacking and, instead, an acetyl group appeared. Thus, briarlide N was established to have the structure depicted in 6.

Briarlides $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}(\mathbf{7}-\mathbf{1 0})$ are briaranes containing a double bond between C-11 and C-12 and an acetyl group at C-14. In the NMR spectra, the presence of the moieties was established from the observation of the resonances due to quaternary carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 133.0-133.4, \mathrm{C}-11$ ), methines ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $120.1-121.2, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-12, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.44-5.45,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), and a proton carrying an acyl carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.73-5.20, \mathrm{H}-14, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $73.0-74.2$, C-14). The position of the latter proton was determined to be $\mathrm{H}-14$ by a correlation between $\mathrm{H}-14$ and $\mathrm{H}-15$ ( $\delta 0.84-1.16,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) in the NOE experiments. Compounds $7-9$ were also oxidized at the C-2, C-3, C-4, and C-9 positions as in the case of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$, and compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was oxidized at C-2, C-3, and C-9.
Briarlide $\mathrm{O}(7), \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, was indicative of absorptions due to a hydroxyl group ( $v_{\max } 3515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), a $\gamma$-lactone carbonyl ( $\nu_{\max } 1782 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and an ester carbonyl ( $\nu_{\text {max }} 1742$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) in the IR spectrum. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, resonances due to three acetyl protons ( $\delta 1.96,2.15,2.23$, 3 H each, s) and $n$-octanoyl protons ( $\delta 0.87,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1.28$, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1.64,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2.37,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), three protons ( $\delta 5.02$, 1 H , br s, H-2, 4.91, 1H, d, J = $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{H}-4,4.73,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}-14)$ bearing an acylated carbon, and a proton ( $\delta 4.90$, 1 H , overlapped, $\mathrm{H}-3$ ) bearing a hydroxyl group, as in the case of 2, were observed. The $n$-octanoyl group was located at C-4, since H-4 showed an HMBC correlation to C-21 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 173.5, s). The relative stereochemistry was confirmed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR coupling patterns and the NOE experiments: $\mathrm{H}-2 / \mathrm{H}-10, \mathrm{H}-3 / \mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-9 / \mathrm{H}-18, \mathrm{H}-20, \mathrm{H}-14 / \mathrm{H}-15$. Therefore, the structure of briarlide O was as shown.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of briarlide $\mathrm{P}(8), \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, indicated the presence of four acetyl protons ( $\delta 1.96,2.13$, $2.16,2.24,3 \mathrm{H}$ each, s); however, it was nearly identical to

Chart 1

$1 R^{1}=A c \quad R^{2}=A c$
$11 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ac}$
$12 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}$
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$


2 R=H
$13 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ac}$

$5 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$
$15 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$


8


3


4 R=Ac
$14 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$


7


10
that of 7 . The only difference was that the $n$-octanoyl group in 7 was replaced by an acetyl group. Therefore, the structure of 8 could be assigned to briarlide P .

Briarlide $\mathrm{Q}(\mathbf{9}), \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, was isomeric with 7. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was similar to that of 7, except that the chemical shifts of H-2 ( $\delta 3.87,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $\mathrm{H}-3(\delta 6.10,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ were shifted upfield by 1.15 ppm and downfield by 1.20 ppm , respectively, when compared with those of 7 . This suggested that C-2 was hydroxylated and C-3 was acylated. The location of the hydroxyl group at C-2 was also supported by the fact that the chemical shift of $\mathrm{H}-14(\delta 5.15,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ was shifted downfield by 0.42 ppm in comparison with that of 7 . This shift was due to anisotropic deshielding by the C-2 hydroxyl group. The $n$-octanoyl group was deduced to be positioned at C-4 from a correlation of H-4 ( $\delta 5.13,1 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J=10.3$ $\mathrm{Hz})$ to C-21 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 173.2$, s) in the HMBC experiments. On the basis of the coupling patterns and the NOE correlations, the relative stereochemistry was determined as depicted in 9.

By comparing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of briarlide $\mathrm{R}(\mathbf{1 0})$, $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{10}$, with those of $\mathbf{7 - 9}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ( $\delta 5.40,1 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J=$ 9.7 Hz ), H-7 ( $\delta 5.62,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), H-9 ( $\delta 5.82,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), and H-10 ( $\delta 2.67,1 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped) in the $10-$
membered ring could be readily assigned. A double-doublet at $\delta 5.59(1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, which could be assigned to H-3 on the basis of an NOE correlation with H-7, was coupled to C-4 methylene protons ( $\delta 2.11,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3.02,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The proton at $\delta 3.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 9.9 Hz ) being coupled to a hydroxyl proton ( $\delta 2.66,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), which showed an NOE correlation with H-10, was established to be H-2. The chemical shift and the coupling pattern (doublet) indicated that a hydroxyl group was attached to C-2. Therefore, briarlide R was confirmed to have structure $\mathbf{1 0}$.

Briaranes possessing a 11,12-double bond and an 14 -acetoxyl group like briarlides $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}$ have not been so often encountered. ${ }^{14}$ Bioactivity tests for the new compouds could not be performed because of their insufficient amount.

## Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were measured at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a JASCO DIP-370S polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a MASCO FT/IR 5300. NMR spectra were recorded with 400 MHz JEOL NMR instruments using TMS as internal standard and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent. MS spectra were obtained with a JEOL JMS XD-303 instrument.

Animal Material. The Briareum sp. (collection no. 262) was collected at -2 m at Amami Island, Kagoshima Prefecture, and was frozen immediately after collection. The animal was compared to the type material of Briareum sp., and the characteristics were found to match. A voucher specimen has been deposited at Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University.

Extraction and Isolation. The organism (wet wt, 1.7 kg ) was chopped into small pieces and extracted with MeOH ( 20 L). The MeOH extract was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. A portion ( 5.2 g ) of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extract ( 15.4 g ) was absorbed on silica gel and subjected to chromatography on silica gel ( 40 g ) packed in hexane, fractions $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ being collected as follows: 1,2 (hexane $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 4\right), 3,4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ), $5,6\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 48\right), 7-11\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 19\right), 12$, $13\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 9\right), 14-17\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 4\right)$, and 18 , $19(\mathrm{MeOH})$. Fractions 5-12 ( 4.36 g ) were chromatographed on silica gel using MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, increasing the proportion of MeOH to elute the fractions from the column. Elution with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 49)$ gave a fraction ( 2.5 g ). The fraction was again chromatographed on silica gel using $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then applied to HPLC (ODS) with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (7:3 to $2: 3)$ to afford $\mathbf{1}(1.2 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{6}(1.7 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{7}(9.8 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{8}(17.0 \mathrm{mg})$, and 9 ( 1.6 mg ). Elution with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 24)$ gave a crude, which was applied to HPLC with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5:10) to yield $2(0.9 \mathrm{mg})$.

The remaining portion ( 9.9 g ) of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extract was subjected to chromatography on silica gel ( 80 g ) in the same way as above. Fractions $5-13(7.3 \mathrm{~g})$ eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:49 to 1:9) were chromatographed using MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, increasing the proportion of MeOH to elute the fractions from the column. Compounds $\mathbf{4}(1.7 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathbf{1 0}(1.4 \mathrm{mg})$ were isolated from the fractions eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:49) followed by HPLC (ODS) with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 10)$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1), respectively. Elution with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 24)$ followed by HPLC with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10: 9)$ afforded $\mathbf{3}(2.8 \mathrm{mg})$ and $5(1.3 \mathrm{mg})$.

Briarlide I (1): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+27^{\circ}$ (c $0.06, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR (film) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3538,1788,1746,1221 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 707.3275$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{O}_{14}, 707.3279$ ).

Briarlide J (2): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+13^{\circ}$ (c $0.07, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR (film) $v_{\max } 3503,1782,1740,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 539.2102$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{12}, 539.2128$ ).

Briarlide K (3): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-74^{\circ}$ (c $0.14, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR (film) $v_{\text {max }} 3549,1784,1744,1238,1213 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $567.2461[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{12}, 567.2442$ ).

Briarlide L (4): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-45^{\circ}(c 0.07, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR (film) $v_{\text {max }} 3549,1784,1744,1238,1213 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $649.3221[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, 649.3224).

Briarlide M (5): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+52^{\circ}(c 0.06, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR (film) $v_{\text {max }} 3420,1782,1738,1213 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / z 595.2759$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, 595.2755).

Briarlide N (6): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-83^{\circ}(c 0.07, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR (film) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3547,1784,1744,1236 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 565.2275$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{12}, 565.2285$ ).

Briarlide O (7): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-106^{\circ}(c \quad 0.12, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3515,1782,1742,1248,1213 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $649.3228[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{12}, 649.3224$ ).

Briarlide P (8): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+49^{\circ}($ c $0.39, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR (film) $\nu_{\max } 3504,1782,1742,1248 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 587.2108$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Na}, 587.2104$ ).
Briarlide Q (9): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{D}-50^{\circ}$ (c 0.08, MeOH); IR (film) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3522,1786,1745,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 649.3215$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{12}, 649.3224$ ).

Briarlide $\mathbf{R}$ (10): amorphous, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+120^{\circ}(c 0.06, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR (film) $v_{\text {max }} 3526,1784,1732,1242,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table 1); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Table 2); positive-ion HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $507.220[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{10}, 507.2230$ ).
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